1	STAN G. ROMAN (87652) (sroman@kksrr.com)		
2	TRACY M. CLEMENTS (184150) (tclements@kksrr.com) MICHAEL D. LISI (196974) (mlisi@kksrr.com)		
3	KRIEG, KELLER, SLOAN, REILLEY & ROMAN LLP		
4	114 Sansome Street, 4 th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104		
5	Telephone: (415) 249-8330		
6	Facsimile: (415) 249-8333		
7	Attorneys for Defendants PETER KIRITCHENKO,		
8	IZABELLA KIRITCHENKO, LUDMILLA KIRITCHENKO, ABS TRADING, INC.,		
_	BRANCROSS U.S. HOLDINGS, INC, XANADU PROPERTY		
9	HOLDINGS, LLC and BRC PROPERTY HOLDINGS, LLC		
10		DICTRICT COLUDT	
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
12	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
13	(SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION)		
14			
15	UNIVERSAL TRADING & INVESTMENT	Case No.: C 99-3073 MMC (EDL)	
16	CO.,	,	
17	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS	
18	V.	LEAVE TO FILE SECOND AMENDED ANSWER	
19	PETRO MIKOLAYEVICH KIRITCHENKO, et al.,	ANSWER	
20	Defendants.	Judge: Hon. Maxine M. Chesney	
21		Courtroom: 7, 19 th Floor	
22			
23	Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-12, plaintiff Universal Trading & Investment		
24	Company ("UTI") and defendants Peter Kiritchenko, Izabella Kiritchenko, and Ludmilla		
25	Kiritchenko (collectively "the Kiritchenko Defendants"), and defendants ABS Trading, Inc.,		
26	Brancross U.S. Holdings, Inc, Xanadu Property Holdings, LLC and BRC Property Holdings,		
27	LLC (collectively, "the Corporate Defendants") stipulate as follows and respectfully request		
28	that the Court enter the following Proposed Order:		
ALALALA HERITA	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS LEAVE TO FILE SECOND AMENDED ANSWER		

WHEREAS on or about June 24, 1999, UTI filed its original Complaint in this action;
WHEREAS on January 20, 2000, UTI filed its Second Amended Complaint in this action;
WHEREAS on August 4, 2000, the Kiritchenko Defendants filed their Answer to the Second Amended Complaint;

WHEREAS on August 11, 2000, the Corporate Defendants filed their Answer to the Second Amended Complaint;

WHEREAS, as a result of then-pending criminal proceedings involving Mr. Kiritchenko, the Kiritchenko Defendants invoked their Fifth Amendment rights and on that basis did not respond substantively to portions of the Second Amended Complaint;

WHEREAS, because the corporate representatives who may have provided the Corporate Defendants with the information necessary to respond to the Second Amended Complaint were also parties to this action and were asserting their Fifth Amendment rights, the Corporate Defendants were unable to respond to those allegations of the Second Amended Complaint that implicated the Fifth Amendment concerns of the corporate representatives;

WHEREAS, on December 11, 2006, the Kiritchenko Defendants and the Corporate Defendants, with the exception of ABS Trading, Inc. ("ABS"), sought and received leave to file an amended answer to their previous Answer to the Second Amended Complaint to reflect this;

WHEREAS, on December 12, 2006, the Kiritchenko Defendants and the Corporate Defendants, with the exception of ABS, filed their Amended Answer to the Second Amended Complaint;

WHEREAS, because of pending issues related to whether ABS was in good standing with the state of California, ABS did not amend its previous answer at that time;

WHEREAS the California Secretary of State has now issued a notice of revivor to ABS, and thus ABS now wishes to amend its previous Answer to the Second Amended Complaint to reflect this;

WHEREAS counsel for ABS has addressed this issue with plaintiff UTI, and UTI is willing

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS LEAVE TO FILE SECOND AMENDED ANSWER

C 99-3073 MMC (EDL)

7	to stipulate to the filing of the Second Amended Answer to the Second Amended Complaint on		
2	behalf of the Kiritchenko Defendants and all of the Corporate Defendants, including ABS;		
3	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED THAT, subject to Court approval, the		
4	Kiritchenko Defendants and the Corporate Defendants shall jointly file the Second Amended Answ		
5	to the Second Amended Complaint, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit A.		
6	Dated: April 19, 2007 KRIEG, KELLER, SLOAN, REILLEY & ROMAN LLP		
7			
8			
9	BY: /s/ MICHAEL D. LISI		
10	Attorneys for PETER KIRITCHENKO, IZABELLA KIRITCHENKO, LUDMILLA, ABS TRADING, INC., BRANCROSS U.S. HOLDINGS, INC, XANADU PROPERTY HOLDINGS, LLC and BRC PROPERTY HOLDINGS, LLC		
11			
12			
13	LLC		
14	Dated: April 19, 2007 ASPELIN & BRIDGEMAN		
15	111111111111111111111111111111111111		
16	By: JOHN H. ASPELIN		
17			
18	Attorneys for Universal Trading & Investment Co.		
19	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED:		
20	de d		
21			
22	Dated: April 20, 2007 Mafine M. Cheker		
23	THE HON. MAXINE M. CHESNEY		
24	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
25			
26			
27	2		
28	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS LEAVE TO FILE SECOND AMENDED ANSWER C 99-3073 MMC (FDL)		
11			